

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	Е	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,152	04/14/2004		Eric C. Pearson	03-2057 1496.00371	6386 .
· 24319		01/29/2008	•	EXAM	INER
LSI CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE				ABDELNOUR, AHMED F	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, (CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			•	' 2624	
			•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		. •	01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/824,152	PEARSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Farras Abdelnour	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number:

10/824,152 Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. <u>Claims 1-10</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In <u>Claim 1</u> the preamble indicates a "method for controlling an arithmetic codec context". However, part B of the body of Claim 1 recites "a value generated by said method." This contradicts the fact that "method" in the preamble suggests that it consists of all three parts of the body of the claim, A, B, and C. That is, the method has not reached part C of claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 11-20 allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Independent Claims 1, 11, and 20 describe a technique for accelerating and efficiently implementing arithmetic codec contexts by generating an input state matching (i) an initial state in response to said first condition and (ii) an output state in response to

Application/Control Number:

10/824,152 Art Unit: 2624

said second condition, wherein said initial state has a predetermined value and said output state has a value) generated by said method before receiving said current context; and generating a current output state by performing an arithmetic code operation on an input signal using said input state. No prior art teaches the above features. Marpe et al. (Marpe, D.; Schwarz, H.; Wiegand, T., "Context-based adaptive binary arithmetic coding in the H.264/AVC video compression standard," Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, IEEE Transactions on, vol.13, no.7, pp. 620-636, July 2003) is deemed to be the closest found reference to the application. Marpe et al. describe context modeling and binary arithmetic coding where probability state index and most probable symbol are evaluated so as to describe a probability model for the purpose of context modeling. Marpe et al. do not reduce the amount of necessary computations by updating the entries of the contexts only when necessary, thus reducing the complexity of codec implementation. Additionally, Marpe et al. does not require an output state in response to a second condition, where the initial state has a predetermined value. Similarly, Sato et al. US 2005/0219069 ("Coding device and method, decoding device and method, recording medium, and program") describe arithmetic coding/decoding methods using frame-based context model. They likewise do not address reducing the amount of necessary computation entailed by context modeling. Additionally, Sato et al. does not require an output state in response to a second condition, where the initial state has a predetermined value.

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farras Abdelnour whose telephone number is 571-270-1806. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. 7:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian P. Werner can be reached on 571-272-7401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Farras Abdelnour Examiner Art Unit 2624

FA

WENPENG CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mm C1 1/22/08